## 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 FERNANDO MATTO, No. C-14-03213 DMR 12 Plaintiff(s), ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHY CASE IOULD NOT BE DISMISSED FOR 13 FAILURE TO PROSECUTE v. 14 PATRICK R. DONAHOE, 15 Defendant(s). 16 17 On October 29, 2014, the court held a case management conference in this case. See Docket 18 No. 5. Plaintiff failed to appear at the case management conference. In addition, this case was filed 19 on July 16, 2014, but to date Defendants have not appeared and it appears that Defendants have not 20 been served. Accordingly, the court ORDERS Plaintiff to respond by November 17, 2014 and 21 explain why this case should not be dismissed for failure to prosecute. Failure to respond by 22 November 17, 2014 may result in dismissal of this action. 23 24 IT IS SO ORDERED.

25

26

27

28

